



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/868,702	09/24/2001	Ion Marqvardsen	GRP-0003	3189

23413 7590 09/03/2003

CANTOR COLBURN, LLP
55 GRIFFIN ROAD SOUTH
BLOOMFIELD, CT 06002

EXAMINER

CHIANG, JACK

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2642
DATE MAILED: 09/03/2003

6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/868702	Applicant(s) Jon Marguardsen et al.
Examiner J. Chiang	Group Art Unit 2642 #6

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address--

Period for Response

-3-

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS SET TO EXPIRE -3- MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a response be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a response within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication .
- Failure to respond within the set or extended period for response will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

Status

- Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6-20-01.
- This action is FINAL.
- Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.
- Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected.
- Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction or election requirement.

Application Papers

- See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.
- The proposed drawing correction, filed on _____ is approved disapproved.
- The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner.
- The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)

- Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
- All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received.
- received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) _____.
- received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

*Certified copies not received: _____.

Attachment(s)

- Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). _____ Interview Summary, PTO-413
- Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152
- Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 Other _____

Office Action Summary

CLAIMS

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-4, 6, 10, 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Smith et al. (US 6304222).

Regarding claim 1, Smith shows:

A housing (1);

At least one speaker (10);

At least one antenna (3, 4);

An acoustic resonance chamber (V);

At least one electromagnetic resonance chamber (fig. 2b);

The acoustic resonance chamber (V) is completely or partly located within the electromagnetic resonance chamber (fig. 2b) (col. 3, lines 59-63).

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 11-19, 21-23, 5, 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith in view of Abraham et al. (US 5953414).

Regarding claim 11, Smith shows:

A housing (1);

At least one speaker (10);

At least one antenna (3, 4);

An acoustic resonance chamber (V);

At least one electromagnetic resonance chamber (fig. 2b);

at least one electromagnetic screen (see 4);

The acoustic resonance chamber (V) is completely or partly located within the electromagnetic resonance chamber (fig. 2b) (col. 3, lines 59-63).

Smith differs from the claimed invention in that it does not explicitly show the speaker and the acoustic chamber are connected through the electromagnetic screen by at least one acoustic coupling means.

However, notice that Smith's electromagnetic screen (4) can be a part of the circuit board, and it is commonly seen that speaker and acoustic chamber are connected through the circuit board by acoustic coupling means. This is taught by Abraham. In Abraham, it shows the speaker (3-4) and the chamber (above 11) are connected through the circuit board (11) by an acoustic coupling means (7, 8).

Hence, it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to modify Smith as taught by Abraham, such that to reduce the thickness of the phone and yet to improve the frequency response of the speaker (col. 1, lines 7-10 in Abraham).

Regarding claims 17-18, Smith shows:

A housing (1);

At least one speaker (10);

At least one antenna (3, 4);

An acoustic resonance chamber (V);

At least one electromagnetic resonance chamber (fig. 2b);

The acoustic resonance chamber (V) is completely or partly located within the electromagnetic resonance chamber (fig. 2b) (col. 3, lines 59-63).

Smith differs from the claimed invention in that it does not explicitly show the speaker is at a distance from the acoustic chamber, or connected by an acoustic coupling means. However, it is commonly seen that the speaker and the acoustic chamber are located at a distance apart from each other. This is taught by Abraham, such as the speaker (3-4) and the chamber (above 11) which are connected by an acoustic coupling means (7-8). Hence, it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to modify Smith by using a circuit board as part of the chamber design as taught by Abraham, such that to reduce the thickness of the phone and yet to improve the frequency response of the speaker (col. 1, lines 7-10 in Abraham).

Art Unit: 2642

Regarding claims 2-10, 12-16, 19-23, Smith or the combination of Smith and Abraham shows:

The antenna (3 in Smith) which can be a patch, loop, or dual band antenna;

The antenna wall (2, 3 in Smith) defines the acoustic walls;

The reinforcement elements (see 3 in fig. 3a in Smith);

The ground screen (4 in Smith);

The acoustic channel (7-8 in Abraham);

Acoustic chamber (16, 7-8 in Abraham);

The acoustic openings and their sizes can be varied (see 7, 22 in Abraham).

TITLE

5. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.


6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jack Chiang whose telephone number is 703-305-4728. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. from 8:00 to 6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad Matar, can be reached on (703) 305-4731. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306

Application/Control Number: 09/868,702
Art Unit: 2642

Page 6

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4700.



Jack Chiang
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2642